Larry W. Jones
Law Office of Jones & Garber
An Insurance Company Law Division
700 SW Higgins Avenue, Suite 108
Missoula, MT 59803-1489
(406) 543-2420
(406) 829-3436 (FAX)
Attorney for Respondent/Insurer

FILED

FEB - 6 2006

OFFICE OF WORKERS' COMPENSATION JUDGE HELENA, MONTANA

IN THE WORKERS' COMPENSATION COURT OF THE STATE OF MONTANA

WCC No. 2005-1505

ALEXIS RAUSCH, et al.

Petitioners

vs.

MONTANA STATE FUND

Respondent/Insurer

and

Jeremy Ruhd

Petitioner

vs.

LIBERTY NORTHWEST INSURANCE CORPORATION

Respondent/Insurer.

LIBERTY NORTHWEST'S ANSWERING BRIEF

The Court offered Liberty the opportunity in its Order dated December 6, 2005 to file a brief on January 9, 2006. Not wanting to try to anticipate the Petitioners' arguments, and thereby possibly discuss issues not raised by the Petitioners, Liberty chose to await the filing of the Petitioners' Brief and file, pursuant to the Court's Order, this Answering Brief.

In principal, Liberty has no objection to an interim award of attorney fees after the retroactive application issue is finally resolved.

As noted by the attorney for the intervenor ASARCO, Inc., in ASARCO's brief there is an unresolved issue regarding the scope of common fund attorney fees generally. Specifically, this Court in Flynn v. State Fund, WCC No. 2000-0222, Amended Order Setting Briefing Schedule authorized the parties and intervenors to brief the issue raised by the Montana Supreme Court decisions in Dempsey v. Allstate Insurance Company, 2004 MT 391 and Schmill v. Liberty Northwest, 2005 MT 144. Specifically, these decisions address the retroactive application of Montana Supreme Court decisions; in the decisions the Court discusses limitations on retroactive application by reference to cases that are closed, pending on direct review, not yet final, settled, final or inactive.

Pursuant to the Court's Order, the petitioner and insurers in <u>Flynn</u> have filed opening briefs. Answering Briefs are due February 27, 2006.

Unfortunately, what has happened in the ever-evolving rules governing common fund cases is that until the above terms have been defined in a way that will allow parties to identify what cases fall in a common fund by the retroactive application of <u>FFR</u> and <u>Ruhd</u>, the assessment of final or interim attorney fees is simply impossible. That is, it may be that cases that were initially believed to have fallen under a common funding holding now may no longer do so because of the holdings in <u>Dempsey</u> and <u>Schmill</u> regarding limitations on retroactive application of a Montana Supreme Court decision.

With this uncertainty, Liberty's position is that until the issue in <u>Flynn</u> is finally resolved, including a possible appeal, there should be no further review of insurer files by common fund attorneys or resolution of the issue of payment of interim attorney fees. There is simply too much uncertainty to require insurers to take their time and resources to go through an exercise that may be futile in whole or in part because of what may be the final resolution of the issues being addressed in <u>Flynn</u>.

Attorney Iones & Garber

DATED this 3rd day of February, 2006.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on the 3rd day February, 2006, I served the original of the foregoing LIBERTY NORTHWEST'S ANSWERING BRIEF, by first-class mail, postage prepaid, on the following:

Ms. Patricia J. Kessner Clerk of Court Workers' Compensation Court PO Box 537 Helena, MT 59624-0537

and a copy of the same to the following:

Monte D. Beck Attorney at Law 1946 Stadium Dr., Suite 1 Bozeman, MT 59715 Stephen D. Roberts Attorney at Law 1700 W. Koch St., Suite 5 Bozeman, MT 59715

Lon Dale Attorney at Law P.O. Box 4947 Missoula, MT 59806-4947

Sharon S. Delaney