Robert F. James
Mary K. Jaraczeski
UGRIN, ALEXANDER, ZADICK & HIGGINS, P.C.
#2 Railroad Square, Suite B
P.O. Box 1746
Great Falls, MT 59403
Telephone: (406) 771-0007
Facsimile: (406) 452-9360



AUG 1 9 2005

OFFICE OF WORKER'S COMPENSATION JUDGE HELENA, MONTANA

Attorneys for TIG Insurance Company, TIG Premier Insurance Company, Fairmont Insurance Company

## IN THE WORKERS' COMPENSATION COURT OF THE STATE OF MONTANA

ROBERT FLYNN, et al.,	)	
Petitioners,	)	WCC NO. 2000-0222
-VS-		
MONTANA STATE FUND,  Respondent/Insurer,  and  LIBERTY NORTHWEST INSURANCE CORPORATION, Intervenor.	)	RESPONSE TO SUMMONS OF TIG INSURANCE COMPANY, TIG PREMIER INSURANCE COMPANY and FAIRMONT INSURANCE COMPANY
	)	

Fairmont Insurance Company, TIG Insurance Company and TIG Premier Insurance Company assert the following defenses in response to the Summons which issued May 4, 2005.

EK

RESPONSE TO SUMMONS OF FAIRMONT INSURANCE COMPANY, TIG INSURANCE COMPANY AND TIG PREMIER INSURANCE COMPANY

Petitioner's attorney fee lien indicates he is seeking common fund attorney fees on every Workers' Compensation claim with a date of injury occurring on or after July 1, 1974 through August 5, 2003, where a claimant incurred costs or fees to obtain a Social Security Disability award for which the insurer took an offset. Fairmont Insurance Company, TIG Insurance Company and TIG Premier Insurance Company assert the following defenses to Petitioner's request for common fund certification and on this basis dispute application of the Flynn decision to them.

- The decision in <u>Flynn v. Montana State Fund</u>, 2002 MT 279, 312 Mont. 410, 60 P.3d 397, applies prospectively only pursuant to the <u>Chevron Oil</u> test of non-retroactivity, which was recently applied by the Montana Supreme Court in <u>Schmill v. Liberty Northwest Ins. Corp.</u>, 2005 MT 144, 327 Mont. 293, 114 P.3d 204.
- 2) The decision in <u>Flynn</u> cannot be applied retroactively because retroactive application would constitute an unconstitutional impairment of contract.
- 3) If <u>Flynn</u> applies retroactively, the common fund attorney fee lien of Petitioner's counsel has no application to claims occurring on or after April 21, 2003, because of the legislative prohibition on common fund attorney fees set forth in Montana Code Annotated Section 39-71-611(3) (2003) and Montana Code Annotated Section 39-71-612(4) (2003).
- 4) If <u>Flynn</u> applies retroactively, settled files or files which were adjudicated prior to December 5, 2002, the date of the <u>Flynn</u> decision, are excluded from the implementation process.
- 5) If <u>Flynn</u> applies retroactively, files which have been inactive or files in which indemnity benefits were paid in full are excluded from the implementation process.
- 6) If <u>Flynn</u> applies retroactively and common fund fees are payable to Petitioner's counsel, the common fund attorney fee lien has no application to claims occurring on or after December 5, 2002.
- 7) The amount of the attorney fee lien claimed by Petitioner's counsel is excessive.
- 8) If <u>Flynn</u> applies retroactively, the files of deceased claimants are excluded from the implementation process.
- 9) If <u>Flynn</u> applies retroactively, the doctrine of laches and/or the statute of limitations serves to bar any additional entitlement on claims which failed to timely present a demand for <u>Flynn</u>-type benefits.
- 10) If <u>Flynn</u> applies retroactively, Petitioner's counsel should be required to bear the financial burden of the identification and entitlement determination process, which includes the administrative and claims-related costs associated with obtaining the necessary Social Security disability information and calculating

entitlement.

- 11) Application of a common fund would violate provisions of the U.S. and Montana Constitutions, including but not limited to, procedural and substantive due process, freedom of contract and taking without just compensation.
- 12) An order requiring identification of <u>Flynn</u> beneficiaries creates an unreasonable and undue burden upon Fairmont Insurance Company, TIG Insurance Company and TIG Premier Insurance Company.
- 13) Fairmont Insurance Company, TIG Insurance Company and TIG Premier Insurance Company incorporate the defenses raised by the other insurers named in the Summons and request the right to add additional defenses throughout the duration of these proceedings, especially since many of the implementation issues will not be discovered unless <u>Flynn</u> is applied retroactively and the parties actually begin the implementation process.

DATED this // day of August, 2005.

UGRIN, ALEXANDER, ZADICK & HIGGINS, P.C.

Mary K. Jaraczeski

#2 Railroad Square, Suite B

P.O. Box 1746

Great Falls, Montana 59403

Attorneys for TIG Insurance Company, TIG

Premier Insurance Company, Fairmont Insurance

Company

## **CERTIFICATE OF MAILING**

I hereby certify that the foregoing was duly served upon the respective attorneys for each of the parties entitled to service by depositing a copy in the United States mails at Great Falls, Montana, enclosed in a sealed envelope with first class postage prepaid thereon and addressed as follows:

Rex Palmer 301 West Spruce Missoula, Montana 59802

DATED this 19 day of August, 2005.

UGRIN, ZADICK, ALEXANDER & HIGGINS, P.C.