Steven W. Jennings Crowley, Haughey, Hanson, Toole & Dietrich P.L.L.P. P. O. Box 2529 Billings, MT 59103-2529 (406) 252-3441 Attorneys for L.H.C., Inc.

FILED

JUL 1 3 2005

OFFICE OF WORKERS' COMPENSATION JUDGE HELENA, MONTANA

IN THE WORKERS' COMPENSATION COURT OF THE STATE OF MONTANA

ROBERT FLYNN and CARL MILLE Individually and on Behalf of Others Similarly Situated,	R,) } WCC No. 2000-0222
Petitioners, vs.	RESPONSE TO SUMMONS AND NOTICE OF ATTORNEY FEE LIEN
MONTANA STATE FUND,	
Respondent/Ins	urer,
and	
LIBERTY NORTHWEST INSURANCE CORPORATION,	DE)
Intervenor.	}

COMES NOW L.H.C., Inc., by and through its counsel of record, Crowley, Haughey, Hanson, Toole & Dietrich P.L.L.P., and files this response to the *Summons and Notice of Attorney Fee Lien* issued in this case as follows:

RESPONDENT, L.H.C., INC., DISPUTES THE ENTITLEMENT OF FLYNN BENEFICIARIES TO ADDITIONAL BENEFITS

Respondent disputes the entitlement of claimants to additional benefits under the *Flynn* decision. The grounds upon which Respondent disputes said entitlements are as follows:

- 1. Certain claimants' entitlement to *Flynn* benefits are precluded by the passage of time and the applicability of the doctrines of waiver, estoppel, laches, and/or various statutes of limitations.
- 2. An order requiring Respondent to pay *Flynn* benefits and/or to pay or withhold the attorney lien in favor of Rex Palmer is prohibited by the due process clause of the Montana Constitution, Article II, Section 17. Respondent was not a party to and did not have an opportunity to be heard on the merits of the *Flynn* or *Miller* cases.
- 3. An order requiring Respondent to pay *Flynn* benefits and/or to pay or withhold the attorney lien in favor of Rex Palmer is prohibited by the due process clause of the 14th Amendment to the United States Constitution. Respondent was not a party to and did not have an opportunity to be heard on the merits of the *Flynn* or *Miller* cases.
- 4. No common fund may be maintained against Respondent in this case because the purported non-participating beneficiaries of the *Flynn* decision are not ascertainable for several reasons, including but not limited to: (a) Montana statutes and regulations do not require the indefinite retention of claim files; and (b) Montana statutes and regulations do not presently and have not previously required that claimants report to the workers' compensation insurer paying benefits the amount of attorney fees paid by claimants to obtain SSDI benefits.
- 5. Petitioners' common fund attorney's lien does not and cannot extend to "all Montana workers' compensation claimants who incurred costs or fees to obtain a social security award for which the entity providing workers' compensation coverage took an offset or had a policy of taking an offset without accounting for the costs incurred by the claimant to recover the award" from July 1, 1974 through August 3, 2003." Petitioners' purported attorney lien notice is overbroad because workers' compensation claimants whose claims were released or closed are not entitled to additional benefits. Moreover, to the extent that closed claim files have not been retained, such claimants are unascertainable.
- 6. Even if a common fund were created through the efforts of Petitioners, neither Petitioners nor their attorney are entitled to a fixed percentage of additional benefits that may be awarded to non-participating beneficiaries with whom neither Petitioners nor their attorney have any relation. Under the common fund doctrine, non-participating beneficiaries should contribute, in proportion to the benefits actually received by them, only to the litigation costs incurred by Petitioners in the *Flynn* and *Miller* litigation, including reasonable attorney fees. The maximum amount of costs and attorneys' fees recoverable by the participating litigants and/or their attorney is limited to those costs and fees actually incurred in creating the benefit for the non-participating beneficiaries.

7. Respondents request and reserve the right to assert additional grounds and defenses, or to adopt the grounds presented by others responding to the *Summons* as circumstances apply and warrant.

Respondent further states that it is not an insurance company. Investigation has revealed that Respondent was listed in the *Summons and Notice of Attorney Fee Lien* because the Department of Labor & Industry had advised this Court that Respondent was a Plan 1 self-insurer. While Respondent had, at one point, attempted to qualify as a Plan 1 self-insurer, it denies that it was ever such a self-insurer during the period specified in the *Summons and Notice of Attorney Fee Lien*. Respondent is currently attempting to locate documentation which would establish that it was never a Plan 1 self-insurer during the relevant period. Accordingly, Respondent reserves the right to move this Court to dismiss Respondent in the event that it is able to document that it was never a self-insurer, and thus has no *Flynn*-type liability.

WHEREFORE, Respondent respectfully requests this Court to enter an order denying the entitlement to *Flynn*-type benefits by any potential claimants insured by Respondent.

Dated this 131 day of July, 2005.

CROWLEY, HAUGHEY, HANSON, TOOLE, & DIETRICH P.L.L.P.

Attorneys for L.H.C., Inc.

By: 0.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Mr. Rex Palmer Attorneys Inc., PC 301 W. Spruce Missoula, MT 59802

STEVEN W. JENNINGS