Rex Palmer ATTORNEYS INC., P.C. 301 W Spruce Missoula, MT 59802 (406) 728-4514 ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF



JUN - 8 2009

OFFICE OF WORKERS' COMPENSATION JUDGE HELENA, MONTANA

IN THE WORKERS' COMPENSATION COURT OF THE STATE OF MONTANA

) WCC No. 2000-0222
PETITIONERS' OPENING BRIEF RE: "PAID IN FULL"
)
)))
)
)))

At hearing on April 22, 2009, this Court directed the parties to submit briefs concerning the definition of the term "paid in full."

INTRODUCTION

The case at bar concerns the entitlement of totally disabled workers who incurred costs or fees to obtain a Social Security award. More specifically, it concerns the duty of insurers to pay their fair share of the costs and fees incurred by the totally

DOCKET ITEM NO. 592

EMAIL

disabled workers to obtain a Social Security award. This duty arises when an insurer takes an offset on workers' compensation benefit payments based on the Social Security award without accounting for the expenses incurred by the worker to recover the Social Security award.

The case at bar does not concern insurers who before the underlying Flynn decision had the policy of paying their proportionate share of the workers fees and costs incurred to obtain Social Security awards. Insurers with such a policy would have paid their share. In so doing, such insurers would have paid the given worker everything required by law regarding the duty of contribution to the cost of obtaining social security benefits. Such payment would constitute the status of "paid in full" regarding the insurers duty of contribution.

ARGUMENT

The term "paid in full" is neither specialized or complicated. A perusal of various dictionaries provides virtually identical definitions of the words. "Paid" is defined as "past tense and past participle of pay." "Pay" is defined as "to make payment" or "to discharge a debt." "Full" means "complete, entirely, not partial."

The Supreme Court endorses the plain meaning of words. In its decision on the appeal of this action, it noted that its *Stavenjord* decision "created no new law" as urged by appellants. Instead, "open" simply meant "not final." Flynn II ¶15.

The Workers' Compensation Court and the Supreme Court have determined that Flynn I applies retroactively to all claims that are not final or settled. The Workers' Compensation Court and the Supreme Court held that a "settled claim" is a claim in which a department-approved settlement or court-ordered compromise of benefits has been made between the claimant and insurer or a claim that has been "paid in full." For purposes of this action the mandate is clear and the logic is direct. Any insurer which completely discharged its obligation to pay its fair share of the costs and fees incurred to obtain a social security award has "paid in full" its required contribution to the common fund. If an insurer has not completely discharged this obligation then the insurer has not "paid in full" the particular claim. Any such claim therefore remains "open" and "not final". Any other result renders moot the

retroactivity analysis of both the Workers' Compensation Court and the Supreme Court.

It is a well-established principle of workers' compensation law that a claimant's benefits are governed by the law in effect at the time of his or her injury or occupational disease. Buckman v. Mt. Deaconess Hosp. (1986) 224 Mont. 318, 730 P.2d 380, 382. Court decisions are retroactive "unless all three of the Chevron factors are satisfied." Flynn II, ¶16. There is no dispute that the Chevron factors are not satisfied in the case at bar. Consequently, Flynn is the law in effect at the time of each of the pre-Flynn claims. Those claims cannot be deemed settled pursuant to the "paid in full" analysis unless and until the insurer pays its complete share of costs and fees incurred to obtain the Social Security award for which it received benefit.

CONCLUSION

Each of the Flynn/Miller claimants has received total disability benefits which were reduced when the claimant received Social Security benefits. None of these claimants have been "paid in full" unless and until the insurer satisfies its duty to share in the cost incurred by the claimant to obtain the Social Security benefits.

Dated this 6th day of June, 2009.

Rex Palmer

ATTORNEYS INC., P.C.

301 W Spruce

Missoula, MT 59802

(406) 728-4514

ATTORNEYS FOR PETITIONERS

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on the serve correct copy of the foregoing was serve delivery, Federal Express, facsimile or en	ed upon the following by U.S. mail, hand-
Larry Jones Liberty NW Ins. Corp. 2291 West Broadway, Suite 2 Missoula, MT 59808 Bradley Luck Garlington, Lohn & Robinson PO Box 7909 Missoula, MT 59807-7909 Laurie Wallace Bothe & Lauridsen, PC PO Box 2020 Columbia Falls, MT 59912	{ } CM/ECF {X} U.S. Mail { } Hand Delivered { } Federal Express { } Facsimile { \times Email } Email
Mark Cadwallader UEF Legal Counsel PO Box 8011 Helena, MT 59604-8011 Steven Jennings Crowley, Haughey, Hanson, Toole & Dietrich, PLLP PO Box 2529	
Billings, MT 59103-2529 KD Feeback	

Gough, Shanahan, Johnson & Waterman

PO Box 1715

Tom Martello

PO Box 4759

Helena, MT 59624-1715

Helena, MT 59604-4759

Montana State Fund Legal Dept.

Collins, Marian

From:

Attorneys Inc., P.C. [attorneysinc@montana.com]

Sent:

Monday, June 08, 2009 4:58 PM

To:

Wilson, Clara; DLI WCC Court Docs

Cc:

Steve Jennings; Larry Jones; Cadwallader, Mark; Laurie Wallace; Bradley J. Luck; KD

Feeback; Martello, Tom

Subject:

Flynn/Miller

Attachments: 090608.Petitioner's Opening Brief Re Pd in Full.PDF

Dear Clara:

Attached for filing with the Court is Petitioners' Opening Brief Re: "Pain in Full". The original will follow via regular mail.

Sincerely,

Miva VanEngen Paralegal to Rex Palmer

ATTORNEYS INC., P.C.

ATTORNEYS INC., P.C.
301 W Spruce
Missoula, MT 59802
(406) 728-4514 (phone)
(406) 728-5601 (fax)
attorneysinc@montana.com
www.montana.com/attorney

The documents accompanying this message, and the information contained herein, are c message in error, please notify us immediately by e-mail or telephone and arrange fo